Original Research Article

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/issn.2454-5929.ijohns20182699

Audiometric assessment of adolescents and adults with tympanic membrane perforation in Benin City

Johnson Ediale¹*, Paul R. O. C. Adobamen¹, Titus S. Ibekwe²

¹Department of Ear, Nose and Throat, University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City, Nigeria ²Department of Otorhinolaryngology, University of Abuja Teaching Hospital, Gwagwalada, Abuja, Nigeria

Received: 16 March 2018 Revised: 11 April 2018 Accepted: 13 April 2018

***Correspondence:** Dr. Johnson Ediale, E-mail: edijohns@yahoo.ca

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: The degree of hearing loss is directly proportional to the size of tympanic membrane (TM) perforation. However, there is dearth of information on correlation between severity of hearing loss and location of perforation on the tympanic membrane. The objective of the study was to determine the hearing level of adolescent and adult patients with tympanic membrane perforation.

Methods: A cross sectional study at the ENT Clinic, University of Benin Teaching Hospital (U.B.T.H), Benin City. Consecutive patients with TM perforations were examined with "Firefly video-otoscope", and subsequently had pure tone audiometry. The contralateral intact TMs in individuals with unilateral TM perforation and the ears of students and staff of Institute of Health Technology UBTH were used as control. Data was analyzed using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 20 and Image J software. $P \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results: Two hundred ears from 148 patients with TM perforation in either or both ears were studied. Conductive hearing loss (CHL) had the highest prevalence; 64.3% and 55.9% in the right and left ears respectively. Slight CHL; 67.5% was more common. However, the severity of hearing loss increased with the size and also varied with the location of TM perforation.

Conclusions: The hearing level among adolescent and adult patients with TM perforation showed a significant association with the size and the location of the perforation on the TM.

Keywords: Hearing level, Tympanic membrane, Perforation, Adolescents, Adults

INTRODUCTION

The correlation between hearing loss and tympanic membrane (TM) perforation has been documented in the literature.^{1,2} It is recognized that the magnitude of hearing loss is directly proportional to the size of TM perforation.³ However, there is no consensus on severity of hearing loss with the location of perforation on the TM. While some schools of thought believe that the site of location of the perforation on the TM is associated with the severity of hearing loss, others believe that there is no significant association between location of

perforation on the tympanic membrane and hearing loss.²⁻

The tympanic membrane is a thin elliptical shaped pearly grey membrane. It is placed obliquely at the boundary between the external auditory canal and the middle ear, and as a result, its postero-superior part is more lateral than its antero-inferior part.⁸ The total area of the tympanic membrane is about 85 mm², out of which almost $2/3^{rd}$ (55 mm²) is the vibrating area.⁹ It is divided into two parts; the pars tensa and pars flaccida. The pars tensa forms the larger part of the tympanic membrane.

Tympanic membrane perforation is a condition where the tympanic membrane has a tear or a hole in it (Figure 1A).¹⁰ This can arise from middle ear infection, ear trauma or iatrogenic causes such as ear syringing, foreign body removal, myringotomy with grommet insertion.¹¹ The tympanic membrane does not only function as a barrier which helps in preventing infection from spreading to the middle ear from the external ear, but more importantly, it plays a role in the hearing process.¹² Perforation on the tympanic membrane may be single or multiple, with variation in sizes, shapes and locations on the tympanic membrane.

The type of hearing loss attributed to tympanic membrane perforation is usually conductive hearing loss (CHL), and this seldom exceeds 15 decibel (dB) hearing loss.¹ This is due to the disruption of sound pressure gain at the tympanic membrane which helps in amplification of sound for onward transmission to the inner ear chamber.^{6,13} Larger conductive hearing losses are however caused by total perforation or associated ossicular pathology.¹ Individuals with tympanic membrane perforation may present with symptoms depending on the size and location of the perforation and the causative factors; including hearing loss, ear discharge and tinnitus.¹⁴ There may or may not be ear pain or vertigo.

Most TM perforations are diagnosed using standard otoscopy, however extremely small perforations may require oto-microscopy or middle ear impedance studies for definitive diagnosis.^{1,15} The advent of computer based video-otoscopy has to a large extent made evaluation of tympanic membrane diseases more reliable and objective.^{16,17} This has helped in reducing observer errors associated with standard otoscopy.¹¹ Tympanic membrane perforation could be managed conservatively if the perforation is small with the edges in close proximity to each other as it is the case with traumatic perforation, or following acute suppurative otitis media. Larger perforations would require surgery (myringoplasty) to improve hearing and prevent recurrence of middle ear infection.¹²

This study is aimed at determining the hearing level associated with the size and location of TM perforation.

METHODS

The study was carried out between July 2014 and May 2015 at the Ear Nose and Throat (ENT) Clinic of the University of Benin Teaching Hospital (UBTH), Benin City, Nigeria. After obtaining ethical approval from UBTH Ethics and Research committee, consecutive patients (10-64 years) presenting at the ENT clinic of UBTH, Benin City during the study period had their ears examined by ENT surgeons with a battery powered otoscope (professional LED fibre-optic) to determine eligibility. Each of the patients was duly briefed on the aim and objectives of the study and an informed written consent obtained before he/she was recruited in the study. The ear of each participant with tympanic membrane

perforation was assessed using a Firefly DE 550 handheld USB video-otoscope, and images saved on the computer for determination of the size of the tympanic membrane perforation and location of the perforation on the tympanic membrane.¹⁸ The system described comprises a video-otoscope (capable of generating still and video images of the tympanic membrane) adapted via a wireless model to a computer screen. Saved images were analyzed using the Image J (version 1.35 of Wayne Rasband, National Institute of Health, USA) geometrical analysis software package. The area of the tympanic membrane perforation (P), and the area of entire tympanic membrane (T) were calculated and percentage of perforation (P/T \times 100/1) was obtained (Figure 1B). The number of TM perforations was categorized into the different segments of the TM.

Pure tone audiometry (PTA) was done on every participant in a sound proof booth at the audiometry laboratory in ENT clinic of UBTH, using Otopront diagnostic audiometer (EN 6O645-1 Class 2, EN 60645-2 Class B-E, 'Germany'); calibrated according to international standard reference ISO 8253-1:1989. The results were charted on an audiogram. The pure tone average for each ear was then calculated; this was the average of thresholds for air conduction at 500Hz, 1000Hz, 2000Hz and 4000Hz i.e. the sum of the thresholds at these frequencies divided by 4.¹⁹

The pure tone average for each ear was used to determine the level of hearing for that ear. Air-bone gap was also calculated; this is the difference between the average air conduction threshold and the average bone conduction thresholds. Usually, four (4) frequency threshold over 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz and 4000 Hz are recommended, as the air-bone gap is greatest at these frequencies.²⁰ The diagnosis of CHL was when air-bone gap was \geq 15 dB and sensorineural hearing loss if air-bone gap was less than 15dB. It was mixed hearing loss if airbone gap was \geq 15dB and if the bone conduction level was impaired.²¹

Hearing loss was classified using the WHO grading system; normal hearing ≤ 25 dB hearing level (HL), slight hearing loss = 26–40 dBHL, moderate hearing loss= 41–60 dBHL, severe hearing loss= 61–80 dBHL and profound hearing loss ≥ 81 dBHL.¹⁹

Tympanometry was done for each ear of the control subjects ((i.e. intact tympanic membranes) to establish normal middle ear function before proceeding to have audiometry.

RESULTS

A total of 200 ears from 148 patients with tympanic membrane perforation in either or both ears were studied. Ages ranged from 10–64 years, with a mean age of 34.5 ± 16.8 years. A high proportion of the participants; 98 (66.3%) were within the younger age group of 10–39 years. There were 67 (45.3%) males and 81 (54.7%)

females; ratio of 1:1.2. Students accounted for up to one-third; 49 (33.1%) of the study population (Table 1).

Table 1: Age distribution.

Variable	Frequency (n=148)	Percentage (%)
Age (years)		
10-14	12	8.1
15-19	10	6.8
20-24	26	17.6
25-29	21	14.2
30-34	18	12.2
35-39	11	7.4
40-44	17	11.5
45-49	08	5.4
50-54	10	6.8
55-59	06	4.0
60-64	05	3.3
65-69	04	2.7

Mean age= 35.4 ± 16.8 years.



Figure 1: (A) Outline of area of TM perforation and the entire TM; (B) Area measurement of TM perforation and the entire TM.

P=448.6 mm², T=862.7 mm²; Size of perforation (% area)= 448.6/862.7 $\times 100 = 52.0\%$

The conductive hearing loss had the highest prevalence; 63 (64.3%) and 57 (55.9%) in the right and left ears of the study ears respectively (Figure 1). The slight conductive hearing loss accounted for more of the hearing loss in the study and control ears; 81 (67.5%) and 14 (87.5) respectively (Table 3). The larger the size of TM perforation, the severity of the hearing loss increased. This was statistically significant (p=0.000) (Table 3). The pure tone average increased with an increase in the size of TM perforation. This was statistically significant (p=0.000) (Table 4). There was a positive relationship between the size of perforation and pure tone average, with a Pearson's correlation coefficient of 0.690. This finding was also statistically significant (p=0.000) (Table 4). The subtotal and the marginal TM perforations recorded more severe forms of hearing loss; 12.5% of profound hearing loss and 100% severe hearing loss within the groups respectively. This was statistically significant (p=0.004) (Table 5).







Figure 3: Scatterplot of pure tone average (dB) vs. size of TM perforation (%).

Table 2: Severity and type of hearing loss.

Converter (JD)	Study ear (n=179)		Control ear (n=28)		
Severity (db)	CHL n (%)	MHL n (%)	CHL n (%)	MHL n (%)	
Slight (26-40)	81 (67.5)	5 (8.5)	14 (87.5)	4 (33.3)	
Moderate (41-60)	34 (28.3)	27 (45.7)	1(6.25)	8 (66.7)	
Severe (61-80)	5 (4.2)	19 (32.2)	1(6.25)	0 (0.0)	
Profound (≥81)	0 (0.0)	8 (13.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Total	120 (100.0)	59 (100.0)	16(100.0)	12 (100.0)	

Table 3: Size of TM perforation vs. severity of hearing loss.

Size (% area)	Severity of hearing loss						P value
	Slight (26-40 dB) n (%)	Moderate (41-60 dB) n (%)	Severe (61-80 dB) n (%)	Profound (≥ 81 dB) n (%)	Total n (%)		
Small (1-25)	64 (79.0)	15 (18.5)	2 (2.5)	0 (0.0)	81 (100.0)	χ ² =90.159	0.000*
Medium (26-50)	18 (34.6)	28 (53.8)	5 (9.6)	1 (1.9)	52 (100.0)		
Large (51-75)	3 (8.6)	12 (34.3)	14 (40.0)	6 (17.1)	35 (100.0)		
Subtotal (≥76)	1 (9.1)	6 (54.5)	3 (27.3)	1 (9.1)	11 (100.0)		
Total	86 (48.0)	61 (34.1)	24 (13.4)	8 (4.5)	179 (100.0)		

Table 4: Pure tone average (PTA) and size of TM perforation.

PTA (dB)	Size of TM Perforation (% area)							
	Small (1-25)	Medium (26-50)	Large (51-75)	Subtotal (76-100)	Total	T test	P value	
11-20	12 (100)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	12 (100)	$r^2 = 0.473$	0.000*	
21-30	47 (87.0)	7 (13.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	54 (100)			
31–40	27 (63.0)	12 (28.0)	3 (7.0)	1 (2.0)	43 (100)	P= 0.690		
41–50	12 (26.0)	22 (26.0)	7 (15.2)	5 (11.0)	46 (100)			
51-60	3 (23.0)	4 (30.8)	5 (38.5)	1 (7.70	13 (100)			
61–70	0 (0.0)	5 (41.7)	7 (58.3)	0 (0.0)	12 (100)			
71-80	2 (15.5)	0 (0.0)	8 (61.5)	3 (23.0)	13 (100)			
81–90	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (80.0)	1 (20.0)	5 (100)			
≥91	0 (0.0)	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (100)			
Total	103(51.5)	51(25.5)	35(17.5)	11(5.5)	200(100)			

Table 5: Site of TM perforation vs. severity of hearing loss.

Site of TM perforation	Severity of Hearing Loss					Test statistic	P value
	Slight (26-40 dB) n (%)	Moderate (41-60 dB) n (%)	Severe (61-80 dB) n (%)	Profound (≥81 dB) n (%)	Total n (%)		
Central	30 (40.5)	29 (39.2)	12 (16.2)	3 (4.1)	74 (100.0)	χ ² =34.694	0.004*
Central anterior	49 (60.5)	24 (29.6)	5 (6.2)	3 (3.7)	81 (100.0)		
Central posterior	5 (83.3)	1 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	6 (100.0)		
Subtotal	2 (12.5)	7 (43.8)	5 (31.2)	2 (12.5)	16 (100.0)		
Marginal	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (100.0)		
Total	86 (48.0)	61 (34.1)	24 (13.4)	8 (4.5)	179 (100.0)		

*Significant.

DISCUSSION

This study set out to review the correlation between hearing level and the size of TM perforation amongst adolescent and adult patients. The predominant age group was the third decade; 20-24 years (17.6%) and 25-29 years (14.2%) respectively. This suggests that the youths are more socially active and aware of the social implications of hearing loss.²² In a previous study in Ibadan, 45.5% of the study population were within the youth age bracket.²³

Conductive hearing loss is known to be the commonest type of hearing loss associated with TM perforation due to loss of the TM surface area responsible for amplification of sound waves.^{3,24} Conductive hearing loss accounted for the highest cases of hearing loss among the studied ears; 64.3% and 55.9% in the right and left ears respectively (Figure 2). In a study among patients that underwent type 1 tympanoplasty in Benin city, CHL accounted for virtually all the cases of hearing loss.¹² Other studies also noted similar high prevalence of CHL in patients with TM perforation.^{8,25,26} The mixed hearing loss was second to CHL; 26.5% and 32.3% in the right and left ears respectively. This shows that CHL is not the only type of hearing loss associated with TM perforation. This finding was also noted in other studies.^{24,26} The occurrence of mixed hearing loss may be as a result of diffusion of bacterial exotoxins through the oval and round widows to the cochlea in patients with CSOM.²⁷ Also, the ubiquitous age related hearing loss may not be completely ruled out in older adults with TM perforation. There was however no isolated case of SNHL recorded in both the study and control ears. Perhaps the selection criteria may have excluded those individuals with background ototoxicity and noise induced hearing loss. However in another study, SNHL was recorded in a few patients with TM perforation from CSOM associated with attico-antral disease probably from damaging effects of cholesteatoma on the labyrinth.²⁸

Slight hearing loss accounted for the most frequent form of hearing loss among the study ears; 48%, while the profound hearing loss accounted for the lowest degree of hearing loss; 4.5% (Table 2). In similar studies, mild hearing loss was the predominant degree of hearing loss reported.^{2,3} The severity of hearing loss increased with an increase in the size of TM perforation, with the large and subtotal TM perforations accounting for 74.3% and 81.8% of moderate to severe hearing loss respectively (Table 3). The pure tone average hearing threshold; a measure of the severity of hearing loss, was largely dependent on the size of TM perforation with a positive Pearson's correlation coefficient of 0.690 (Table 4). This was statistically significant (p=0.000). Other related studies showed that there was an increase in severity of hearing loss with increase in size of TM perforation.^{25,29} A contrary observation is yet to be reported. A summary of relationship between pure tone average and size of TM perforation is shown in (Figure 3). Because of the amplification provided by the surface area ratio between the TM and oval window, any reduction in the size of TM by perforation may alter this ratio, with a subsequent decline in sound amplification.^{30,31} The association between the severity of hearing loss and size of TM perforation was statistically significant (p=0.000) (Table 3).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the hearing level among the study population showed that conductive hearing loss is the predominant type of hearing loss associated with TM perforation, the severity of which increased with an increase in the size of TM perforation. The severity of hearing loss is also dependent on the site of TM perforation with the subtotal and marginal types associated with more severe hearing loss. There is therefore the need for continuous enlightenment among the populace on proper ear hygiene and the importance of early presentation to the Ear, Nose and Throat surgeon in the event of any ear discomfort.

Funding: This study was partly funded by the Authority of the University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City, Nigeria

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City

REFERENCES

- 1. Hamilton J. Chronic Otitis media in childhood. In: Gleeson M (ed.) Scott Brown's Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery 7th edn. Vol 1. London: Arnold; 2008: 932-939.
- 2. Lerut B, Pfammatter A, Moons J, Linder T. Functional correlations of tympanic membrane perforation size. Otol Neurotol. 2012;33:379-86.
- Mehta RP, Rosowski JJ, Voss SE, O'Neil E, Merchant SN. Determinants of hearing loss in perforations of the tympanic membrane. Otol Neurotol. 2006;27:136-43.
- 4. Ahmed SW, Ramani GV. Hearing loss in tympanic membrane perforations. JLO. 1979;93:1091-8.
- 5. Wilson J. Deafness in developing countries: Approaches to a global program of prevention. Arch Otolaryngol. 1985;1:2-9.
- American Academy of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery. Perforated Ear drum. 2013. Available at: https://www.entnet.org/content/perforatedeardrum. Accessed on 15 June 2013.
- Roland NJ, McRae RDR, McCombe AW. Chronic suppurative otitis media. In: Barlass P (ed.) Key Topics in Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery. 2nd edn. Oxford: Bios scientific publishers; 2001: 38-41.

- Brobby GW. The Otolaryngologist, the defender of human rights. 5th Faculty Lecture, National Postgraduate Medical College of Nigeria. 2010: 18.
- 9. Wright P, Valentine P. The anatomy and embryology of the external and middle ear. In: Gleeson M (ed.) Scott Brown's Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery. 7th edn. Vol 1. London: Arnold; 2008: 3105-3119.
- Buttarovoli P, Leffler SM. Perforated tympanic membrane (ruptured eardrum). In: Buttarovoli P, Leffler SM. (eds.) Minor Emergencies. 3rd edn. Philadelphia, Pa: Mosby Elselvier; 2012.
- Ibekwe TS, Nwaorgu OGB, Adeosun AA. Assessment of the size of tympanic membrane perforation: A comparison of clinical estimations with video-otoscopic calculations. ENT J. 2008;87:567-9.
- 12. Ogisi FO, Adobamen P. Type 1 tympanoplasty in Benin: A 10 year review. Nigerian Postgraduate Med J. 2004;11:84-7.
- 13. Kruger B, Tonndorf J. Tympanic membrane perforation in cats: configurations of losses with and without ear canal extensions. J Acoust Soc Am. 1978;63:436-41.
- 14. Angeli SI, Kulak JL, Guzman J. Lateral tympanoplasty for total or near-total perforation: Prognostic factors. Laryngoscope. 2006;116:1594-9.
- 15. Miyamoto RT. Traumatic perforation of tympanic membrane. In: The middle ear and tympanic membrane disorders. The Merck manual for health care professionals 2013. Available at: www.merckmanuals.com/professional/ent_disorder/ me_disorder/traumatic perforation of the tm.html. Accessed on 14 December 2013.
- Jones WS. Video-otoscopy: Bringing otoscopy out of the black box. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2006;70:1875-83.
- 17. Sullivan RF. Video otoscopy in audiological practice. J Am Acad-Audiol. 1997;8:447-67.
- 18. Ibekwe TS, Adeosun AA, Nwaorgu OG. Quantitative analysis of tympanic membrane perforation:a simple and reliable method. J Laryngol Otol. 2009;123:2.
- World Health Organization. Grades of hearing impairment. Available at: www.who.int/pbd/ deafness/hearingimpairment_grades/en/2014. Accessed on 24 January 2014.
- 20. Browning GG. Diagnosis of Otosclerosis. In: Gleeson M (ed.) Scott Brown's Otolaryngology

Head and Neck Surg. 7th edn. Vol 3. London: Arnold; 2008: 3461.

- American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. (2005). Guidelines for manual pure tone threshold audiometry. Available at: http://www.asha.org/ policy/GL2005-00014/. Accessed on 19 September 2013.
- 22. Prasansuk S, Hinchcliffe R. Tympanic membrane perforation, descriptions and hearing levels in otitis media. Audiology. 1982;2:43-51.
- 23. Olowookere SA, Ibekwe TS, Adeosun AA. Pattern of TM Perforation in Ibadan: A retrospective study. Ann Ibadan Postgraduate Med. 2008;6:31-3.
- 24. Wagner R. South America: A perspective on ear disease. ENT News. 2008;17:51.
- 25. Maharjan M, Kafle P, Bista M, Shrestha S, Toran KC. Observation of hearing loss in patients with chronic suppurative otitis media tubotympanic type. Kathmandu Univ Med J. 2009;7:397-401.
- 26. Bhusal CL, Guragain RPS, Shrivastav RP. Size of tympanic membrane perforation and hearing loss. J Nep Med Assoc. 2006;45:167-72.
- 27. Paparella MM, Morizono T, Le CT, Mancini F, Sipila P, Choo YB, et al. Sensorineural hearing loss in otitis media. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. 1984;93:623-9.
- 28. Costa SS, Rosito LP, Dornelles C. Sensorineural hearing loss in patients with chronic otitis media. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2009;266:221-4.
- 29. Ibekwe TS, Ijaduola GT, Nwaorgu OG. Tympanic membrane perforation among adults in West Africa. Otol Neurotol. 2007;28:348-52.
- Voss SE, Rosowski JJ, Merchant SN, Peake WT. Non-ossicular signal transmission in human middle ears: Experimental assessment of the "acoustic route" with perforated tympanic membranes. J Acoust Soc Am. 2007;122:2135-53.
- 31. GE. Acoustics and Mechanics of the Middle Ear. In: Gulya AJ (ed.) Surgery of the Ear. PMPH-USA; 2010: 49-72.

Cite this article as: Ediale J, Adobamen PROC, Ibekwe TS. Audiometric assessment of adolescents and adults with tympanic membrane perforation in Benin City. Int J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2018;4:901-6.